

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

				2
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/471,696	12/23/1999	THIRU SRINIVASAN	1649-(USW-05	7829
22193 7:	590 10/16/2002	1		
QWEST COMMUNICATIONS INTERNATIONAL INC LAW DEPT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 1801 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 3800			EXAMINER	
			KRAMER, JAMES A	
DENVER, CO	DENVER, CO 80202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 10/16/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	09/471,696	SRINIVASAN, THIRU	
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	M A
	James A. Kramer	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper reply chiplaces the application	ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official of the control of the co	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the mails FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 County of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for replace later than three months after the main and the safter the main three months after the main and the safter the main three months after the main three months after the main after the main and the safter the main three months after the main after the main and the safter the safter the main and the safter the saft	ng date of the final rejecti FHE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the appriount of the fee. The appriy originally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9, 11-19 & 21-23 are per final.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	—:/	
10. Other:		/ ///	_
Jan Mann 10/15/02		neth R. Rice hary Examiner	Jos
S. Patern and Trademark Office			

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

~ Y

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: based on broadest definiation of "monitoring" the claims do not overcome the prior art.